

On the ranks of Conway group Co_1

Dedicated to Professor Jamshid Moori on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday

Faryad Ali and Mohammed Ali Faya Ibrahim

Abstract

Let G be a finite group and X a conjugacy class of G . We denote $rank(G : X)$ to be the minimum number of elements of X generating G . In the present paper we investigate the ranks of the Conway group Co_1 . Computations were carried with the aid of computer algebra system GAP [17].

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Let G be a finite group and $X \subseteq G$. We denote the minimum number of elements of X generating G by $rank(G : X)$. In the present paper we investigate $rank(G : X)$ where X is a conjugacy class of G and G is a sporadic simple group.

Moori in [13], [14] and [15] proved that $rank(Fi_{22} : 2A) \in \{5, 6\}$ and $rank(Fi_{22} : 2B) = rank(Fi_{22} : 2C) = 3$ where $2A$, $2B$ and $2C$ are the conjugacy classes of involutions of the smallest Fischer group Fi_{22} as represented in the ATLAS [4]. The work of Hall and Soicher [11] shows that $rank(Fi_{22} : 2A) = 6$. Moori in [16] determined the ranks of the Janko group J_1 , J_2 and J_3 . Recently in [1] and [2] the authors computed the ranks of the

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 20D08, 20F05.

Key words and phrases. Conway's group Co_1 , rank, generator, sporadic group.

four sporadic simple groups HS , McL , Co_2 and Co_3 .

In the present article, the authors continue their study to determine the ranks of the sporadic simple groups and the problem is resolved for the Conway's largest sporadic simple groups Co_1 . We determine the rank for each conjugacy class of Co_1 . We prove the following result:

Theorem 2.7 . Let Co_1 be the Conway's largest sporadic simple group. Then

- (a) $rank(Co_1 : nX) = 3$ if $nX \in \{2A, 2B, 2C, 3A\}$.
- (b) $rank(Co_1 : nX) = 2$ if $nX \notin \{1A, 2A, 2B, 2C, 3A\}$.

For basic properties of Co_1 , character tables of Co_1 and their maximal subgroups we use ATLAS [4] and GAP [17]. For detailed information about the computational techniques used in this talk the reader is encouraged to consult [1], [10] and [15].

Throughout this paper our notation is standard and taken mainly from [1], [2] and [10]. In particular, for a finite group G with C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k conjugacy classes of its elements and g_k a fixed representative of C_k , we denote $\Delta_G(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k)$ the number of distinct tuples $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{k-1})$ with $g_i \in C_i$ such that $g_1 g_2 \dots g_{k-1} = g_k$. It is well known that $\Delta_G(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k)$ is structure constant for the conjugacy classes C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k and can be easily computed from the character table of G (see [12], p.45) by the following formula $\Delta_G(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k) = \frac{|C_1| |C_2| \dots |C_{k-1}|}{|G|} \times \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\chi_i(g_1) \chi_i(g_2) \dots \chi_i(g_{k-1}) \overline{\chi_i(g_k)}}{[\chi_i(1_G)]^{k-2}}$ where $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_m$ are the irreducible complex

characters of G . Further let $\Delta_G^*(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k)$ denote the number of distinct tuples $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{k-1})$ with $g_i \in C_i$ and $g_1 g_2 \dots g_{k-1} = g_k$ such that $G = \langle g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{k-1} \rangle$. If $\Delta_G^*(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k) > 0$, then we say that G is (C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k) -generated. If H is a subgroup of G containing g_k and B is a conjugacy class of H such that $g_k \in B$, then $\Sigma_H(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{k-1}, B)$ denotes the number of distinct tuples $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{k-1})$ such that $g_i \in C_i$ and $g_1 g_2 \dots g_{k-1} = g_k$ and $\langle g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{k-1} \rangle \leq H$.

For the description of the conjugacy classes, the character tables, permutation characters and information on the maximal subgroups readers are referred to ATLAS [4]. A general conjugacy class of elements of order n in G is denoted by nX . For example $2A$ represents the first conjugacy class of involutions in a group G . We will use the maximal subgroups and the permutation characters of Co_1 on the conjugates (right cosets) of the maximal subgroups listed in the ATLAS [4] extensively.

The following results will be crucial in determining the ranks of a finite group G .

Lemma 1.1. (Moori [16]) *Let G be a finite simple group such that G is (lX, mY, nZ) -generated. Then G is $(\underbrace{lX, lX, \dots, lX}_{m\text{-times}}, (nZ)^m)$ -generated.*

Corollary 1.2. *Let G be a finite simple group such that G is (lX, mY, nZ) -generated, then $\text{rank}(G : lX) \leq m$.*

Proof. The proof follows immediately from Lemma 1.1. \square

Lemma 1.3. (Conder et al. [5]) *Let G be a simple $(2X, mY, nZ)$ -generated group. Then G is $(mY, mY, (nZ)^2)$ -generated.*

We will employ results that, in certain situations, will effectively establish non-generation. They include Scott's theorem (cf. [5] and [18]) and Lemma 3.3 in [21] which we state here.

Lemma 1.4. ([21]) *Let G be a finite centerless group and suppose lX, mY, nZ are G -conjugacy classes for which $\Delta^*(G) = \Delta_G^*(lX, mY, nZ) < |C_G(nZ)|$. Then $\Delta^*(G) = 0$ and therefore G is not (lX, mY, nZ) -generated.*

2 Ranks of Co_1

The Conway group Co_1 is a sporadic simple group of order

$$4, 157, 776, 806, 543, 360, 000 = 2^{21} \cdot 3^9 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 23.$$

The subgroup structure of Co_1 is discussed in Wilson [19]. The group Co_1 has exactly 22 conjugacy classes of maximal subgroups as listed in Wilson [19]. Co_1 has 101 conjugacy classes of its elements. It has precisely three classes of involutions, namely $2A$, $2B$ and $2C$ as represented in the ATLAS [4]. Co_1 acts on a 24-dimensional vector space Ω over $GF(2)$ and this action produces three orbits on the set of non-zero vectors. The point stabilizers are the groups Co_2 , Co_3 and $2^{11}:M_{24}$ and the permutation character of Co_1 on $\Omega - \{0\}$, which is given in [6], is $\chi = 3.1a + 2.299a + 2.17250a + 3.80730a + 376740a + 644644a + 2055625a + 2417415a + 2.5494125a$, where na denotes the first irreducible character with degree n . For basic properties of Co_1 and information on its maximal subgroups the reader is referred to [4], [3], [6] and [19].

Recently Darafsheh, Arshafi and Moghani in [6], [7] and [8] established (p, q, r) -generations and nX -complementary generations of the Conway group Co_1 . We will make use of these generations to determine the ranks of Co_1 in some cases.

In the following we prove that the Conway group Co_1 can be generated by three involutions.

Lemma 2.1. *The group Co_1 can be generated by three involutions $a, b, c \in 2A$ such that $abc \in 13A$.*

Proof. Using the character table of Co_1 we have $\Delta_{Co_1}(2A, 2A, 2A, 13A) = 9633$. In Co_1 we have only two maximal subgroups, up to isomorphism, with orders divisible by 13, namely, $H_1 \cong$

3.Suz.2 and $H_2 \cong (A_4 \times G_2(4)):2$. We also have $\Sigma_{H_1}(2A, 2A, 2A, 13A) = \Delta_{H_1}(2A, 2A, 2A, 13A) = 1521$. A fixed element of order 13 in C_{O_1} lies in four conjugates of H_1 . Hence H_1 contributes $4 \times 1521 = 6084$ to the number $\Delta_{C_{O_1}}(2A, 2A, 2A, 13A)$. Similarly, we compute that $\Sigma_{H_2}(2A, 2A, 2A, 13A) = \Delta_{H_2}(2A, 2A, 2A, 13A) = 169$ and a fixed element of order 13 in C_{O_1} lies in a unique conjugate of H_2 . This mean that H_2 contributes $1 \times 169 = 169$ to the number $\Delta_{C_{O_1}}(2A, 2A, 2A, 13A)$. Since

$$\Delta_{C_{O_1}}^*(2A, 2A, 2A, 13A) \geq 9633 - 6084 - 169 > 0,$$

the group C_{O_1} is $(2A, 2A, 2A, 13A)$ -generated. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let C_{O_1} be the Conway's largest sporadic group C_{O_1} then $\text{rank}(C_{O_1} : 2X) = 3$ where $X \in \{A, B, C\}$.*

Proof. We proved in the previous lemma that C_{O_1} is $(2A, 2A, 2A, 13A)$ -generated and so $\text{rank}(C_{O_1} : 2A) \leq 3$ but $\text{rank}(C_{O_1} : 2A) = 2$ is not possible, because if $\langle x, y \rangle = C_{O_1}$ for some $x, y \in 2A$ then $C_{O_1} \cong D_{2n}$ with $o(xy) = n$. Hence $\text{rank}(C_{O_1} : 2A) = 3$. Darafsheh et. al in [6] proved that C_{O_1} is $(2Y, 3D, 11A)$ -generated for $Y \in \{B, C\}$. Now by applying Corollary 1.2, we have $\text{rank}(C_{O_1} : 2Y) \leq 3$ for $Y \in \{B, C\}$, but we know that $\text{rank}(C_{O_1} : 2Y) > 2$ as we argue in the above case, hence $\text{rank}(C_{O_1} : 2Y) = 3$ where $Y \in \{B, C\}$. Therefore $\text{rank}(C_{O_1} : 2X) = 3$ where $X \in \{A, B, C\}$ \square

Lemma 2.3. $\text{rank}(C_{O_1} : 3A) = 3$.

Proof. First we show that $\text{rank}(C_{O_1} : 3A) > 2$ by proving that C_{O_1} is not $(3A, 3A, tX)$ -generated for any conjugacy class tX . If C_{O_1} is $(3A, 3A, tX)$ -generated then $\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{t} < 1$ and it follows that $t \geq 4$. Set $K = \{4A, 5A, 6A\}$. Using GAP [17] we see that $\Delta_{C_{O_1}}(3A, 3A, tX) = 0$ if $tX \notin K$ and for $tX \in K$ we have $\Delta_{C_{O_1}}(3A, 3A, tX) < |C_{C_{O_1}}(tX)|$. We get that

$$\Delta_{C_{O_1}}^*(3A, 3A, tX) < \Delta_{C_{O_1}}(3A, 3A, tX) < |C_{C_{O_1}}(tX)|.$$

Using Lemma 1.4, we obtain that $\Delta_{C_{O_1}}^*(3A, 3A, tX) = 0$ for all tX with $t \geq 4$

and therefore C_{O_1} is not $(3A, 3A, tX)$ -generated and hence $\text{rank}(C_{O_1} : 3A) > 2$. Next we show that $\text{rank}(C_{O_1} : 3A) = 3$.

Consider the triple $(3A, 3A, 3A, 10E)$. From the maximal subgroups of C_{O_1} , we see that the only maximal subgroups of C_{O_1} with order divisible by 10 and non-empty intersection with the conjugacy classes $3A$ and $10E$ are isomorphic to $H_1 = 2_+^{1+8}.O_8^+(2)$, $H_2 = 3^{1+4}.2U_4(2).2$, $H_3 = (A_5 \times J_2):2$ and $H_4 = (D_{10} \times (A_5 \times A_5).2).2$. We compute $\Delta_{C_{O_1}}(3A, 3A, 3A, 10E) = 600$ and $\Sigma_{H_1}(3A, 3A, 3A, 10E) = \Sigma_{H_2}(3A, 3A, 3A, 10E) = \Sigma_{H_3}(3A, 3A, 3A, 10E) = \Sigma_{H_4}(3A, 3A, 3A, 10E) = 0$. Thus no proper subgroup of C_{O_1} is $(3A, 3A, 3A, 10E)$ -generated and we get

$$\Delta_{C_{O_1}}^*(3A, 3A, 3A, 10E) = \Delta_{C_{O_1}}(3A, 3A, 3A, 10E) = 600.$$

Hence C_{O_1} is $(3A, 3A, 3A, 10E)$ -generated and the result follows. \square

Lemma 2.4. $\text{rank}(C_{O_1} : tX) = 2$ for $tX \in \{3B, 4A, 4B, 4C, 4D, 5A, 6A\}$.

Proof. Set $T = \{3B, 4B, 4D, 5A, 6A\}$. Consider the triple $(tX, tX, 13A)$ for each $tX \in T$. The maximal subgroups of C_{O_1} containing elements of order 13 are, up to isomorphism, $H_1 \cong 3.Suz.2$ and $H_2 \cong (A_4 \times G_2(4)):2$. We see that a fixed element of order 13 in C_{O_1} is contained in precisely four copies of H_1 in C_{O_1} and in a unique conjugate copy of H_2 in C_{O_1} . Now we calculate that for each $tX \in T$, we have $\Delta_{C_{O_1}}^*(tX, tX, 13A) \geq \Delta_{C_{O_1}}(tX, tX, 13A) - 4\Sigma_{H_1}(tX, tX, 13A) - \Sigma_{H_2}(tX, tX, 13A) > 0$. We conclude that C_{O_1} is $(tX, tX, 13A)$ -generated for each $tX \in T$. Hence $\text{rank}(C_{O_1} : tX) = 2$ for each $tX \in T$.

Next for $tX = 4A$ consider the triple $(2C, 4A, 26A)$. Up to isomorphism, the only maximal subgroup of C_{O_1} that may contain $(2C, 4A, 26A)$ -generated proper subgroup is isomorphic to $H_2 \cong (A_4 \times G_2(4)):2$. We calculate that $\Delta_{C_{O_1}}(2C, 4A, 26A) = 91$ and $\Sigma_{H_2}(2C, 4A, 26A) = 39$. Now a fixed element of order 26 in C_{O_1} lies in a unique conjugate of H_2 in C_{O_1} . Hence

H_2 contributes $1 \times 39 = 39$ to the number $\Delta_{Co_1}(2C, 4A, 26A)$. Our calculation gives $\Delta_{Co_1}^*(2C, 4A, 26A) \geq 91 - 39 > 0$ and therefore, Co_1 is $(2C, 4A, 26A)$ -generated. Now applying Lemma 1.2, we get $rank(Co_1 : 4A) = 2$.

Finally for the rank of the conjugacy class $tX = 4C$ we consider the triple $(4C, 4C, 13A)$. The Co_1 -class $4C$ fails to meet any copy of H_1 or H_2 in Co_1 . Thus Co_1 contains no proper $(4C, 4C, 13A)$ -subgroup. As $\Delta_{Co_1}(4C, 4C, 13A) = 7866268$ we conclude that Co_1 is $(4C, 4C, 13A)$ -generated and $rank(Co_1 : 4C) = 2$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.5. *If $n \geq 4$ and $nX \notin T = \{4A, 4B, 4C, 4D, 5A, 6A\}$ then $rank(Co_1 : nX) = 2$.*

Proof. Direct computation using \mathbb{GAP} and results from Darafsheh, Arshafi and Moghani ([8]) together with information about the power maps of Co_1 we can show that Co_1 is $(2A, nX, mZ)$ -generated for each conjugacy class $nX \notin T$ of Co_1 ($n \geq 4$) with appropriate mZ . Now by Lemma 1.3, Co_1 is $(nX, nX, (mZ)^2)$ -generated for all $nX \notin T$ ($n \geq 4$). Hence $rank(Co_1 : nX) = 2$ for all $n \geq 4$ and for each conjugacy class $nX \notin T$ of Co_1 . \square

Remark 2.6. For example Co_1 is $(2A, 23A, 23B)$ -generated. Hence Co_1 is $(23A, 23A, (23B)^2)$ -generated, so that $rank(Co_1 : 23A) = 2$.

We now state the main result of the paper.

Theorem 2.7. *Let Co_1 be the Conway's largest sporadic simple group. Then*

- (a) $rank(Co_1 : nX) = 3$ if $nX \in \{2A, 2B, 2C, 3A\}$.
- (b) $rank(Co_1 : nX) = 2$ if $nX \notin \{1A, 2A, 2B, 2C, 3A\}$.

Proof. The proof follows from Lemmas 2.1, 2.2, ..., and 2.5. \square

References

- [1] F. Ali and M. A. F. Ibrahim, *On the ranks of HS and McL*, Utilitas Mathematica, to appear.
- [2] F. Ali and M. A. F. Ibrahim, *On the ranks of Co_2 and Co_3* , J. Algebra Appl., to appear.
- [3] M. Aschbacher, *Sporadic Groups*, Cambridge Univ. Press, London-New York, 1994.
- [4] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson, *An Atlas of Finite Groups*, Oxford University Press, 1985.
- [5] M. D. E. Conder, R. A. Wilson and A. J. Woldar, *The symmetric genus of sporadic groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **116** (1992), 653–663.
- [6] M. R. Darafsheh and A. R. Ashrafi, *(2, p, q)-Generation of the Conway group Co_1* , Kumamoto J. Math. **13** (2000), 1–20.
- [7] M. R. Darafsheh and A. R. Ashrafi and G. A. Moghani, *(p, q, r)-Generations of the Conway group Co_1 for odd p*, Kumamoto J. Math. **14** (2001), 1–20.
- [8] M. R. Darafsheh and A. R. Ashrafi and G. A. Moghani, *nX-Complementary generations of the Sporadic group Co_1* , Acta Mathematica Vietnamica, **29**(1), 2004, 57–75.
- [9] L. Di Martino and C. Tamburini, *2-Generation of finite simple groups and some related topics*, Generators and Relations in Groups and Geometry, A. Barlotti et al., Kluwer Acad. Publ., New York (1991), 195 – 233.
- [10] S. Ganief and J. Moori, *Generating pairs for the Conway groups Co_2 and Co_3* , J. Group Theory **1** (1998), 237-256.
- [11] J. I. Hall and L. H. Soicher, *Presentations of some 3-transposition groups*, Comm. Algebra **23** (1995), 2517-2559.
- [12] I. M. Isaacs, *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, Dover, New York, 1994.
- [13] J. Moori, *Generating sets for F_{22} and its automorphism group*, J. Algebra **159** (1993), 488–499.

- [14] J. Moori, *Subgroups of 3-transposition groups generated by four 3-transpositions*, Quaest. Math. **17** (1994), 83–94.
- [15] J. Moori, *On the ranks of the Fischer group F_{22}* , Math. Japonica , **43**(2) (1996), 365–367.
- [16] J. Moori, *On the ranks of Janko groups J_1 , J_2 and J_3* , Article presented at the 41st annual congress of Soth African Mathematical Society, RAU 1998.
- [17] The GAP Group, *GAP - Groups, Algorithms and Programming, Version 4.3* , Aachen, St Andrews, 2003, (<http://www-gap.dcs.st-and.ac.uk/~gap>).
- [18] L. L. Scott, *Matrices and cohomology*, Ann. Math. **105**(3) (1977), 473–492.
- [19] R. A. Wilson, *The maximal subgroups of the Conway group Co_1* , J. Algebra **85** (1983), 144–165.
- [20] A. J. Woldar, *On Hurwitz generation and genus actions of sporadic groups*, Illinois J. Math. **33**(3) (1989), 416–437.
- [21] A. J. Woldar, *Representing M_{11} , M_{12} , M_{22} and M_{23} on surfaces of least genus*, Comm. Algebra **18** (1990), 15–86.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
 KING KHALID UNIVERSITY,
 P.O. BOX 9004, ABHA,
 SAUDI ARABIA

E-mail address: Fali@kku.edu.sa

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
 KING KHALID UNIVERSITY
 P.O. BOX 9004 ABHA,
 SAUDI ARABIA

E-mail address: mafibrahim@kku.edu.sa